

MARKING CRITERIA and STUDENT FEEDBACK

This section details the assessment criteria. The *extent* to which these are demonstrated by you determines your mark. The marks available for each criterion are shown. Lecturers will use the space provided to comment on the achievement of the task(s), including those areas in which you have performed well and areas that would benefit from development/improvement.

Common Assessment Criteria Applied	Marks Available	Marks Awarded
1. Engagement with Literature Skills		
2. Knowledge and Understanding Skills		
Considering the progress made with the scenario and how it is working for IPV4, it does not make sense how the addressing table has not been attempted (missed opportunity). The addressing worksheet (again) should have been completed	10	4
3. Cognitive and Intellectual Skills		
Nice work on getting the various elements via IPV4, but if you done your checks for IPV6 this could have worked. Please reflect on your resources i.e., NetAcad	10	5
4. Practical Application Skills		
Generally, all is okay with the configuration of the scenario, all work for IPV4 looks fine, however, I would check your work regarding the routing for IPV6 and check if you have configured the routes correctly. Maybe some basic troubleshooting is in order and again, all elements have been covered via NetAcad - it is a vial resource	80	56
5. Transferable Skills for Life and Professional Practice		

Assignment Mark (Assessment marks are subject to ratification at the Exam Board. These comments and marks are to give feedback on module work and are for guidance only until they are confirmed.)	Late Submission Penalties (tick if appropriate)		65
	Late Submission ?		
	1st/2nd/3rd attempt?	1st	